

Persistent current in a one-dimensional ring of fractionally charged “exclusons”

I. V. Krive^(1,2), P. Sandström⁽¹⁾, R. I. Shekhter⁽¹⁾, and M. Jonson⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾Department of Applied Physics, Chalmers University of Technology and Göteborg University, S-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden
and ⁽²⁾B. Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 310164 Kharkov, Ukraine

The Aharonov-Bohm effect in a one-dimensional (1D) ring containing a gas of fractionally charged excitations is considered. It is shown that the low temperature behavior of the system is identical to that of free electrons with (integer) charge e . This is a direct consequence of the fact that the total charge in the ring is quantized in units of the electron charge. Anomalous oscillations of the persistent current amplitude with temperature are predicted to occur as a direct manifestation of the fractional nature of the quasiparticle charge. A 1D conducting ring with gate induced periodical potential is discussed as a possible set-up for an experimental observation of the predicted phenomenon.

One of the most intriguing predictions of low dimensional models in quantum field theory and in condensed matter physics is the existence of fractional charge. (See, e.g., the reviews [1] and [2]). The necessary condition for fractional charge quantization is that the charge carrying quasiparticles are topologically stable. In 1D models the stable quasiparticles as a rule are kinks of the order parameter, while in 2D models they are vortices. Nontrivial topological properties of fractionally charged quasiparticles are responsible for the unusual statistics of these objects. Even in the absence of interactions (ideal gas) the quantum ensemble obeys statistics which is intermediate between traditional Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac statistics.

Some years ago, Haldane [3] suggested a generalization of Pauli’s exclusion principle to consider anomalous statistics by standard methods of quantum statistical mechanics. Such an approach was realized in Ref. [4], where the thermodynamic properties of a generalized ideal gas were calculated. In this paper we will study coherent properties of mesoscopic rings characterized by quasiparticles carrying fractional charge and obeying fractional exclusion statistics. These stable quasiparticles will be referred to as exclusons. We calculate the persistent current of an ideal one-dimensional ring of non-interacting exclusons at finite temperatures by using two approaches, viz. (i) by a direct method involving the distribution function of exclusons [4] (see also Ref. [5]) and (ii) by mapping the excluson gas model to the Luttinger liquid model [6]. We will show that at low temperatures $T \ll \Delta$ (where Δ is the energy level spacing of a ballistic ring), the persistent current of fractionally charged exclusons in an isolated ring or in a ring weakly connected to an electron reservoir is identical to the persistent current of non-interacting electrons carrying the same total electric charge. It follows that anomalous statistics does not show

up in Aharonov-Bohm oscillations of a perfect (impurity free) integrable charged system. This result (for $T = 0$) also follows from a general theorem [7].

The high temperature properties of the persistent current of exclusons differ, however, qualitatively from those of non-interacting particles with normal statistics; we find an anomalous temperature dependence of the amplitude of the persistent current (the amplitude oscillates as a function of temperature). We therefore propose that the effects predicted here should be observable in experiments using loop-shaped quantum wires with a gate induced periodic potential. They should be revealed through the equilibrium properties close to the commensurate-incommensurate phase transition.

Let us consider a noninteracting gas of (spinless) exclusons with spectrum $\epsilon(p)$ and fractional charge $q_s = e/q$ (e is the electron charge, q is an integer). It is known that in non-simply connected regions, the wavefunction of fractionally charged quasiparticles is multi-valued [8,9]. As an illustration of the above assertion, we consider kinks (solitons) of the order parameter in a system undergoing a commensurate-incommensurate phase transition. In the commensurate (dielectric) phase, the existence of kinks with fractional charge $q_s = e/q$ is related to the existence of q degenerate vacua, $|0_k\rangle$ ($k = 1, \dots, q$). The transport of a given soliton along the ring transforms the vacuum $|0_k\rangle$ to the vacuum $|0_{k\pm 1}\rangle$ (the $\pm$ -signs correspond to the two directions of motion) and only a q -fold encircling of the ring restores the initial vacuum state. Therefore the boundary condition for the wave function of the topological defects (kinks) in a ring takes the form [8] $\Psi(x + qL) = \Psi(x)$. In the interval $[0, L]$ the wave function is multi-valued and can be defined on q sheets. On the k -th sheet one has

$$\Psi_k(x) = \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi k}{q}\frac{x}{L}\right) \Psi_{per}(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q \quad (1)$$

In the presence of a magnetic flux, Φ , the periodic boundary condition for $\Psi_{per}(x)$ has to be changed to a twisted one

$$\Psi_{per}(x + L) = \exp\left(i2\pi\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_s}\right) \Psi_{per}(x), \quad (2)$$

where $\Phi_s = hc/q_s = q\Phi_0$ ($\Phi_0 = hc/e$ is the flux quantum). Using Eqs. (1) and (2) one gets the quantized spectrum for fractionally charged particles in a ring (see also [9])

$$\epsilon_{n,k} = \epsilon \left(n + \frac{k}{q} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, q \quad (3)$$

where n is an integer. The index k reflects the fact that the wavefunction is multi-valued. The spectrum (3) describes q branches and for any equilibrium state of fractionally charged quasiparticles one has to sum over k from 1 to q .

As a consequence of gauge invariance fractionally charged quasiparticles in condensed matter systems obey fractional statistics (see, e.g., Ref. [10]). We will regard them as exclusions with statistical parameter $\lambda = 1/q$ ($q = 1$, $\lambda=1$ corresponds to fermions, $\lambda=0$ — to bosons). The thermodynamic potential, Ω , for an ideal gas of exclusions is of the form [4]

$$\Omega_\lambda = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\{p\}} \ln \left[\frac{1 + (1-\lambda)f_p}{1 - \lambda f_p} \right], \quad (4)$$

where $\beta = T^{-1}$ is the inverse temperature. The one-particle distribution function, f_p , in Eq. (4) takes the form

$$f_p = [y(\xi) + \lambda]^{-1}, \quad \xi = \exp[\beta(\epsilon_p - \mu_s)], \quad (5)$$

where ϵ_p is the one-particle spectrum, μ_s is the chemical potential and the dimensionless function $y(\xi)$ obeys the algebraic equation

$$y^\lambda(1+y)^{1-\lambda} = \xi. \quad (6)$$

With the help of Eqs. (4)-(6) the general expression for the persistent current $I = -c\partial\Omega_q/\partial\Phi$ of exclusions can be written as

$$I_q(\Phi) = -c \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^q f_{n,k} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{n,k}}{\partial \Phi}. \quad (7)$$

In what follows we will for simplicity consider a linearized spectrum (around the Fermi momentum), of Eq. (3)

$$\epsilon_{n,k} = \Delta \left| n + \frac{k}{q} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right|, \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta \equiv 2\pi\hbar v_F/L$ is the energy level spacing. Notice that the persistent current being a property of the Fermi surface [11] (see also the review [12]), does not depend on the energy dispersion far from the Fermi level. Next we will assume that the total charge of the ring is an integer multiple of e (this is valid for an isolated ring or for a ring weakly connected to a reservoir of electrons). In this case the number of exclusions in a ring is a multiple of q . By taking into account the fact that at $T = 0$ the distribution function, f_p , is a step of height q [4], we can infer that the Fermi velocity entering the definition of Δ does not depend on the statistical factor q . When substituting Eqs. (5) and (8) into the equation for the persistent current $I_q(\Phi)$ and performing the summation

over n in Eq. (7) using the Poisson summation formula, we get the following expression

$$I_q(\Phi) = 2\frac{eT}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sin \left(2\pi j \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right) \left\{ S_j^{(q)} \cos \left(2\pi j \frac{q\mu_s}{\Delta} \right) + C_j^{(q)} \sin \left(2\pi j \frac{q\mu_s}{\Delta} \right) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_j^{(q)} \\ C_j^{(q)} \end{array} \right\} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\beta\mu_s}^{\infty} \frac{dx \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sin \\ \cos \end{array} \right\} \left(2\pi j q \frac{x}{\beta\Delta} \right)}{y(e^x) + 1/q} \quad (10)$$

and the function $y(\xi)$ satisfies Eq. (6). Since we are interested in the temperature region $T \ll \mu_s$, the lower limit in the integrals of equation (10) can be replaced by $-\infty$. For the limit of Fermi statistics ($q = 1$) it then follows that

$$S_j^{(1)} = 1/\sinh \left(j \frac{2\pi^2}{\beta\Delta} \right), \quad C_j^{(1)} = 0. \quad (11)$$

In fact the integrals $C_j^{(1)}$ are exponentially small when the parameter $\beta\mu \gg 1$ and one recovers the well-known expression for the persistent current of non-interacting (spinless) fermions [13,11].

From Eq. (9) it is inferred that the period of Aharonov-Bohm oscillations in a ring of fractionally charged quasiparticles coincides with the fundamental period ($\Phi_0 = hc/e$) of oscillations in normal electron systems. This result [8,9] (see also Refs. [10] and [14]) is a direct consequence of gauge invariance and follows in our calculations from the fact that the wave function of fractional charge in a ring is multi-valued. Below we show, however, that the temperature dependence of the oscillation amplitude is sensitive to the choice of statistics. At first we examine Eqs. (9) and (10) for $q > 1$ in the low temperature limit, $T \ll \Delta$. The low temperature behavior of the integrals (10) are

$$S_j^{(q)} \simeq \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{\beta\Delta}{j} \gg C_j^{(q)} \simeq Const \quad (12)$$

According to Eqs. (9) and (12) one then finds the persistent current of fractionally charged exclusions in this limit to be

$$I_q(\Phi, T=0) = \frac{2}{\pi} I_0 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left(2\pi j \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \right) \cos \left(2\pi j \frac{q\mu_s}{\Delta} \right)}{j}, \quad (13)$$

where $I_0 = ev_F/L$. Notice that not only the period of oscillations, but the amplitude, I_0 , as well exactly coincides with the one for spinless fermions [11]. A simple argument shows why the persistent current for exclusions does not depend on the statistical parameter $\lambda=1/q$: The partial current carried by a quasiparticle

$I_s = q_s v_F / L = I_0 / q$ is q times smaller than that carried by an electron, but since on average, q exclusions occupy the state with a given orbital momentum, the total persistent current equals I_0 . Formally in Eq. (13), the statistical parameter q enters the oscillating factor multiplied by the chemical potential μ_s . However, in the physical situation studied (a ring weakly connected to an electron reservoir) only integer charges (electrons) can be transported between ring and reservoir. Therefore the controllable parameter in our case is not the chemical potential of exclusions μ_s , but the chemical potential of electrons in the reservoir $\mu = q\mu_s$. In other words it is impossible to measure fractional charge using Aharonov-Bohm oscillations at low temperatures. Fractional charge is masked by fractional statistics.

Can we expand this claim to finite temperatures? Generally speaking — no. Anomalous (fractional) statistics in condensed matter can be conceived as a result of an approximative (long wavelength) description of specific interactions of particles with normal statistics. At finite temperatures thermally activated excitations of strongly correlated systems contribute to the persistent current and the characteristics of the current could depend on properties of the interaction. The situation is similar in the presence of impurities violating translational invariance of a system. We show below that the temperature behavior of Aharonov-Bohm oscillations due to quasiparticles obeying generalized statistics is anomalous.

At finite temperatures it is impossible to obtain analytic expressions for the integrals of Eq. (10) at arbitrary statistical parameters. As an example we calculate the persistent current for semions ($q=2$) in the high temperature region, where it turns out to be possible. Other issues will be addressed through numerical calculations. For $q = 2$ ($\lambda=1/2$) the algebraic equation (6) is quadratic and one gets a simple analytic expression for the distribution function. In the case considered Eq. (10) takes the form

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} S_j^{(2)} \\ C_j^{(2)} \end{matrix} \right\} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \begin{matrix} Im \\ Re \end{matrix} \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx e^{i\Omega_j x}}{\sqrt{(\frac{1}{2})^2 + e^{2x}}} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \begin{matrix} Im \\ Re \end{matrix} \right\} \int_C \frac{dz e^{i\Omega_j z}}{\sqrt{(\frac{1}{2})^2 + e^{2z}}}, \quad (14)$$

where $\Omega_j \equiv 4\pi j / \beta \Delta$ and the integration contour in the complex z -plane is shown in Fig. 1. The asymptotic behavior of the integrals at high temperatures is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} S_j^{(2)} \\ C_j^{(2)} \end{matrix} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{matrix} + \\ - \end{matrix} \right\} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{\beta \Delta}{j}} \exp\left(-j \frac{2\pi^2}{\beta \Delta}\right) \times \left\{ \begin{matrix} \sin \\ \cos \end{matrix} \right\} \left(\frac{4\pi \ln 2}{\beta \Delta} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \quad (15)$$

In contrast to the case of Fermi statistics, Eq. (11), both integrals in Eq. (15) are of the same order. More-

over, they oscillate as a function of temperature with the period $\Delta T_2 = \Delta / 2 \ln 2$.

Fig. 2 demonstrates the variation of the oscillation amplitude with temperature for different values of the statistical parameter $\lambda = 1/q$ (at $\mu = k\Delta$, k is an integer). The crossover temperature $T^* = \Delta / 2\pi^2 = \hbar v_F / \pi L$ (see Eq.(15)) does not depend on the factor q . It exactly coincides with the analogous temperature for a gas of non-interacting electrons at fixed chemical potential [13,11]. The period of temperature oscillations — on the other hand — does depend (although only logarithmically) on the statistical factor $\Delta T_q \sim \Delta / \ln q$. For large enough q the oscillations in question become appreciable already in the crossover region (see Fig. 2).

It was shown in Ref. [6] that at low temperatures an ideal gas with the statistical parameter λ can be described by the Luttinger liquid model with the Haldane parameter equal to λ . While doing this mapping we should take into account that the multivalued nature of the wavefunction of fractionally charged particles mentioned above, corresponds to introducing a number of vacuum states and a corresponding number of fields φ_k describing excitations of the system on top of each vacuum state. The equivalence of both descriptions at zero temperature mentioned in Ref. [6] can be proven in our case if when calculating the persistent current one uses the following twisted boundary conditions for the fields φ_k as a function of coordinate x and imaginary time τ :

$$\sum_{k=1}^q \{ \varphi_k(x, \tau + \beta) - \varphi_k(x, \tau) \} = 2\pi n q. \quad (16)$$

Here $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ is the winding number and $\beta = T^{-1}$. By evaluating the partition function represented as a functional integral over the fields φ_k described by a standard quadratic Lagrangian in the long wavelength representation, one finds that the persistent current at zero temperature is identical to Eq. (13). At the same time we can show that there are no oscillations of the persistent current amplitude with temperature within the Luttinger liquid approach. Therefore we conclude that the finite temperature behavior of the persistent current calculated using the two approaches are different. This is not surprising because the mapping of the exclusion gas model to the Luttinger liquid model [6] is valid only at zero temperature.

In conclusion we have discussed the possibility to detect effects of fractional charge (statistics) in low-dimensional mesoscopic systems. The existence of fractional charge have been predicted by theory for different systems in solid state physics (see e.g. Ref. [2]), but only two of them have been studied systematically: quasi-1D metals with charge density waves (see the review Ref. [16]) and the 2D electron gas in the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) regime [17]. It is known that quasiparticle excitations (vortices) in the FQHE possess

fractional charge and statistics [18,19]. Although this prediction has still not been verified directly in an experiment, it is based on the well developed and experimentally confirmed theory of the FQHE.

The situation in quasi-1D Pierles-Fröhlich systems is more intricate. At present there is no unambiguous experimental evidence for the existence of fractional charged solitons in 1D channels. We would like to suggest that it may well happen that experiments in mesoscopic systems (for example in quantum wires) could be more suitable for measuring fractional charge and -statistics. Under usual conditions, current carrying excitations in 1D quantum wires are quasiparticles with integer charge. However, it is possible to conceive an experimental situation when the charge motion along the channel occurs in the presence of a periodic external potential. If the average density $n_e = 1/a$ of electrons in the channel is close to commensurate fillings $n_e a_p = q/p$ (a_p is the period of the channel modulation, $q > p$ are integers) the electron system will be near the commensurate-incommensurate phase transition. In this case the free charge carriers are solitons with fractional charge $q_s = e/q$ [20]. The persistent current of a soliton ring in the metallic (incommensurate) phase then should exhibit the anomalous features studied in the present paper.

We are grateful to S. M. Girvin for many helpful discussions. I.K. acknowledges the hospitality of the Department of Applied Physics, CTH/GU. This work was supported by the Swedish Royal Academy of Science, the Swedish Natural Science Research Council, INTAS grant 94-3962, and by grant U2K200 from the Joint Fund of the Gov. of Ukraine and the Intern. Science Foundation.

Phys. Rev. **B37**, 6050 (1988).

- [12] A. A. Zvyagin, and I. V. Krive, Low. Temp. Phys. **21**, 687 (1995).
- [13] I. O. Kulik, JETP Lett. **11**, 275 (1970).
- [14] Y. Gefen, D. J. Thouless, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 10423 (1993).
- [15] I. V. Krive, P. Sandström, R. I. Shekhter, S. M. Girvin, and M. Jonson, Phys. Rev. B (1995).
- [16] G. Grüner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 1129 (1988); A. J. Heeger, S. Kivelson, J. R. Schrieffer, and W. P. Su, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 781 (1988).
- [17] The Quantum Hall Effect (2nd ed.), Eds. R. E. Prange, and S. M. Girvin (Springer, New York, Heidelberg, 1990).
- [18] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1359 (1983).
- [19] B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1583 (1984); D. Arovas, J. R. Schrieffer, and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 722 (1984).
- [20] E. B. Kolomeisky, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 6193 (1993); E. B. Kolomeisky and J. P. Straley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4891 (1995).

FIG. 1. The integration contour in the complex plane for the analytically solvable case $\lambda = 1/2$.

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the persistent current calculated for two different statistical parameters $\lambda = 1/q$. Note that the current for $q = 3$ has been magnified by a factor of 100. While the crossover temperature does not depend on λ , the “period” of nonmonotonic temperature behavior do so as can be seen from the figure.

-
- [1] A. J. Niemi, and G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Repts. **135**, No 3 (1986).
 - [2] I. V. Krive, and A. S. Rozhavsky, Usp. Fiz. Nauk (Sov. Phys. Usp), **152**, 33 (1987).
 - [3] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 937 (1991).
 - [4] Y-S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 922 (1994).
 - [5] A. Dasnieres de Veigy, and S. Ouvry, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B9**, 271 (1995).
 - [6] Y-S. Wu, and Y. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 890 (1995).
 - [7] N. Byer, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7**, 46(1961); A. Müller-Groeling, H. A. Weidenmüller, and C. H. Lewenkopf, Europhys. Lett. **22**, 193 (1993).
 - [8] S. Kivelson, and M. Rocek, Phys. Lett. **156 B**, 85 (1985).
 - [9] E. N. Bogachek, I. V. Krive, I. O. Kulik, and A. S. Rozhavsky, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **97**, 603 (1990) [Sov. Phys. JETP **70**, 336 (1990)]; I. V. Krive, and A. S. Rozhavsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B6**, 1255 (1992).
 - [10] Y. Hatsugai, M. Kohmoto, and Y-S. Wu, Progr. Theor. Phys. (Supplement), v.107, 101 (1992).
 - [11] H-F. Cheung, Y. Gefen, E. K. Riedel, and W.-H. Shin,

